

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,028	06/23/2003	Harold Douglas Foster	HMT01/3619/US	1326
22433 ROBERT H. B	7590 05/05/201 ARRIGAR	0	EXAM	INER
BARRIGAR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW			CHOI, FRANK I	
1007 FORT ST SUITE 201	REET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
VICTORIA, BC V8V 3K5			1616	
CANADA				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/05/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notice of Abandonment	10/600,028	FOSTER, HAROLD DOUGLAS			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	FRANK I. CHOI	1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
This application is abandoned in view of:					
⊠ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offic (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of heriod for reply (including a total extension of time of (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does	Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejectio application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely file Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);				
(c) A reply was received on 4/8/2010 but it does not constitute final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		ttempt at a proper r	eply, to the non-		
(d) No reply has been received.					
Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee an from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-F		the statutory period	i of three months		

(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of

Allowance (PTOL-85).

/Johann R. Richter/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1616

(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$____ is insufficient. A balance of \$____ is due.

The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is ____ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$____ (c) | The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.

3 | Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).

(a) | Proposed corrected drawings were received on ____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated ____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.

(b) | No corrected drawings have been received.

4. | The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.

5. | The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.

6. | The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on ____ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.

7. | The reason(s) below:

See Continuation Sheet

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

Use Petert and Telephan Office.

5/03/10

PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20100503

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: The letter received from FosterHealth on April 8, 2010 does not constitute a proper reply. The letter was not signed by a registered attorney or agent or all inventors. The letter was signed by the Vp of Business Development but there is no evidence in the file that Foster Health was an assignee and that as assignee had authorization to prosecute the application. See 37 CFR 1.33 (b). The letter consists of nothing more than a list and copy of documents and a statement that it was believed that the documents addressed the issues of "obviousness" and "enablement" as well as the issue of 'claimed weight percents' referenced in the office action. The letter does not show how the documents address sad issues or make any arguments or amendments which specifically address the objections and rejections set forth in the prior office action. As such, the letter, also, does not constitute a bona fide attempt at a proper reply. See 37 CFR 1.111 (b) and (c). See Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary attached hereto.